arXiv:math/0007052v1 [math.DG] 10 Jul 2000

Clifford Homomorphisms and Higher Spin Dirac Operators

Yasushi Homma*

Abstract

We present a generalization of the Clifford action for other representations spaces of $Spin(n)$, which is called the Clifford homomorphism. Their properties extend to the ones for the higher spin Dirac operators on spin manifolds. In particular, we have general Bochner identities for them, and an eigenvalue estimate of a Laplace type operator on any associated bundle.

0 Introduction

Let M be a n-dimensional spin manifold and $\mathbf{Spin}(M)$ be its spin structure. The irreducible unitary representation (π_ρ, V_ρ) of $Spin(n)$ induces a vector bundle $\mathbf{S}_\rho$ associated to $\mathbf{Spin}(M)$. We consider the canonical covariant derivative ∇ mapping from $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho)$ to $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho \otimes T^*(M))$. If we decompose the tensor bundle $\mathbf{S}_\rho \otimes T^*(M)$ into irreducible bundles, then we can construct first order differential operators associated to ∇,

$$D^\rho_{\lambda_k} : \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho \otimes T^*(M)) \xrightarrow{\Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}} \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{\lambda_k}). \tag{0.1}$$

Here $\Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}$ is the orthogonal projection onto an irreducible bundle $\mathbf{S}_{\lambda_k}$ from $\mathbf{S}_\rho(M) \otimes T^*(M)$. These operators are called *the higher spin Dirac operators*, *the generalized gradient*, or *the Stein-Weiss operators*, which are conformal invariant first order differential operators. Many basic and geometric operators on M are constructed in this way; the Dirac operator, the twistor operator (see [2], [3], and [15]), the exterior derivative, its adjoint, the conformal killing operator (see [9] and [14]), the Rarita-Schwinger operator (see

---

*Department of Mathematics, Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan,
E-mail address: homma@gm.math.waseda.ac.jp

[7]) and so on. As in these examples, the higher spin Dirac operators and their properties are closely related with geometry and topology of M (see the references given above and [1], [4], [5], [6], [12] etc.).

Now, we consider the Dirac operator D as a basic example. We denote the spinor representation of $Spin(n)$ by (π_Δ, V_Δ). Then the Dirac operator D is nothing else but the higher spin Dirac operator D^Δ_Δ, and the projection Π^Δ_Δ is realized by using the Clifford algebra. The Clifford algebra gives us a lot of information about the Dirac operator. For instance, we can prove the ellipticity, the conformal invariance, and the Bochner identity of D (see [2] and [15]). As this example, the projection $\Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}$ in (0.1) is an essential tool to investigate the higher spin Dirac operators. Since the projection is defined fiberwise, we consider the tensor representation $(\pi_\rho \otimes \pi_{\mathrm{Ad}}, V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n)$ and the projection $\Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}$ onto an irreducible component V_{λ_k}. For any u in $\mathbf{R}^n$, we have a homomorphism $p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u)$ from V_ρ to V_{λ_k},

$$\mathbf{R}^n \times V_\rho \ni (u, \phi) \mapsto p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u)\phi := \Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}(\phi \otimes u) \in V_{\lambda_k}. \tag{0.2}$$

We call this homomorphism *the Clifford homomorphism*. On the spinor space, $p^\Delta_\Delta(u)$ is the usual Clifford action $u\cdot$. So the Clifford homomorphism is a generalization of the usual Clifford action.

The aim of this paper is to study the Clifford homomorphisms and the higher spin Dirac operators. Since we can extend the Clifford homomorphisms to bundle homomorphisms, some relations among the Clifford homomorphisms induce the ones among the higher spin Dirac operators. In particular, we have general Bochner identities. Furthermore, these identities allow us to give a lower bound of the first eigenvalue for a Laplace type operator on each associated bundle.

In section 1, we review the Clifford algebras, the spin groups, and their representations. In particular, we introduce the Casimir operator and the conformal weights. In section 2, we decompose the representation space $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$ into irreducible components as a $Spin(n)$-module (or $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$-module) and define the Clifford homomorphisms. We investigate these homomorphisms and give an explicit formula of the projection $\Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}$. As an example, we give explicit decompositions of $V_\Delta \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\Lambda^k(\mathbf{R}^n) \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$, where (π_Δ, V_Δ) means the spinor representation and $(\pi_{\Lambda^k}, \Lambda^k(\mathbf{R}^n))$ is the k-th exterior product representation. In section 3 and 4, we study the higher spin Dirac operators and give some relations among them. Then we have the Bochner identities for the higher spin Dirac operators. In the last section, we give a lower bound of the first eigenvalue of a Laplace type operator constructed in section 4. This is a generalization of the eigenvalue estimates known about the Dirac operator and the Laplace-Beltrami operator.

1 Preliminaries: representations of $Spin(n)$

In this section, we give a short review to the Clifford algebras, the spin groups, and their representations. Let $\mathbf{R}^n$ be the n-dimensional Euclidean space with orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^n$. The Clifford algebra Cl_n associated to $\mathbf{R}^n$ is an associative algebra with unit generated by $\{e_k\}$ under the relations $e_ke_l + e_le_k = -2\delta_{kl}$. We denote the complexification of Cl_n as $\mathbf{C}l_n$.

We define the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$ in Cl_n by

$$\mathfrak{spin}(n) := \mathrm{span}_{\mathbf{R}}\{[e_k, e_l] \mid 1 \le k, l \le n\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $[e_k, e_l] := e_ke_l - e_le_k$ and the Lie bracket is $[a, b] := ab - ba$ for a and b in $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$. The basis of $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$ is $\{[e_k, e_l]\}_{k<l} = \{2e_ke_l\}_{k<l}$. We put $\exp(a) := \sum \frac{a^n}{n!}$ for a in Cl_n and obtain the spin group $Spin(n)$,

$$Spin(n) := \exp \mathfrak{spin}(n) \subset Cl_n. \tag{1.2}$$

If we would like to think of the spin group as a double covering group of $SO(n)$, we use the adjoint representation π_{Ad} of $Spin(n)$ on $\mathbf{R}^n$,

$$Spin(n) \times \mathbf{R}^n \ni (g, x) \mapsto \pi_{\mathrm{Ad}}(g)x = gxg^{-1} \in \mathbf{R}^n. \tag{1.3}$$

Then we have the isomorphisms $\mathfrak{so}(n) \simeq \mathfrak{spin}(n)$, where $[e_k, e_l]$ corresponds to the $n \times n$ matrix $-4E_{kl} + 4E_{lk}$. The Killing form gives an inner product on $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$ as follows:

$$\langle [e_k, e_l], [e_i, e_j]\rangle = 32\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} \quad \text{for } k < l \text{ and } i < j. \tag{1.4}$$

The irreducible unitary representations of $Spin(n)$ or $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$ are parametrized by dominant weights $\rho = (\rho^1, \cdots, \rho^m) \in \mathbf{Z}^m \cup (\mathbf{Z} + 1/2)^m$, satisfying that

$$\rho^1 \ge \cdots \ge \rho^{m-1} \ge |\rho^m|, \quad \text{for } n = 2m, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\rho^1 \ge \cdots \ge \rho^{m-1} \ge \rho^m \ge 0, \quad \text{for } n = 2m + 1. \tag{1.6}$$

The dominant weight ρ is the highest weight of the corresponding representation (π_ρ, V_ρ). Here, we use the same notation for the representation of $Spin(n)$ and its infinitesimal representation of $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$. When writing dominant weights, we denote a string of j k's for k in $\mathbf{Z} \cup (\mathbf{Z} + 1/2)$ by k_j. For example, $((\frac{3}{2})_p, (\frac{1}{2})_{m-p})$ is the weight whose first p components are $\frac{3}{2}$ and others are $\frac{1}{2}$.

We shall introduce the Casimir operator and the conformal weights. Let (π_ρ, V_ρ) be an irreducible representation with highest weight ρ. The Casimir operator on V_ρ is defined by

$$C_{\pi_\rho} = \frac{1}{32}\sum_{i<j} \pi_\rho([e_i, e_j])\pi_\rho([e_i, e_j]) = \frac{1}{64}\sum_{i,j} \pi_\rho([e_i, e_j])\pi_\rho([e_i, e_j]). \tag{1.7}$$

This operator commutes with the action of $Spin(n)$. So the Casimir operator is a constant $c(\rho)$ on V_ρ:

$$c(\rho) := -\frac{1}{2}(\|\delta+\rho\|^2 - \|\delta\|^2). \tag{1.8}$$

Here the inner products on the weight space is the standard one, that is, $\|\rho\|^2 = \langle \rho, \rho\rangle := \sum \rho^k \rho^k$, and δ is half the sum of the positive roots.

We consider the tensor representation $(\pi_\rho \otimes \pi_{\mathrm{Ad}}, V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n) \simeq (\pi_\rho \otimes \pi_{\mathrm{Ad}}, V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{C}^n)$ and its irreducible decomposition $\pi_\rho \otimes \pi_{\mathrm{Ad}} \simeq \pi_{\lambda_0} \oplus \pi_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_{\lambda_N}$. To identify the irreducible components, we need the Casimir operator or the operator $\widehat{C}$ given by

$$\widehat{C} := C_{\pi_\rho \otimes \pi_{\mathrm{Ad}}} - C_{\pi_\rho} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes C_{\pi_{\mathrm{Ad}}}. \tag{1.9}$$

It is easy to show that

$$\widehat{C} = \frac{1}{32} \sum_{i,j} \pi_\rho([e_i, e_j]) \otimes \pi_{\mathrm{Ad}}([e_i, e_j]). \tag{1.10}$$

This operator $\widehat{C}$ is a constant on the irreducible component V_{λ_k}. This constant is called the conformal weight for λ_k (see [8]) and given by

$$\begin{aligned} m(\lambda_k) :&= c(\lambda_k) - c(\rho) - c(\mathrm{Ad}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(n - \|\delta+\lambda_k\|^2 + \|\delta+\rho\|^2 - 1). \end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

2 Clifford homomorphisms

We shall discuss the Clifford homomorphisms. Before we define the Clifford homomorphisms, we recall the usual Clifford action on spinor spaces. We consider the complex spinor representation (Π_Δ, V_Δ) of $Spin(n)$. For $n = 2m$, we remark that the spinor space V_Δ splits to $V_{\Delta^+} \oplus V_{\Delta^-}$ as a $Spin(n)$-module. We adopt the following definition of the Clifford action. When n is $2m+1$, the representation space $V_\Delta \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$ splits to $V_T \oplus V_\Delta$ as a $Spin(n)$-module, where the highest weights Δ and T are $((1/2)_m)$ and $(3/2, (1/2)_{m-1})$ respectively. If we have a vector $\phi \otimes u$ in $V_\Delta \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$, then we can project the vector onto V_Δ along V_T orthogonally. This projection gives the Clifford action of $\mathbf{R}^n$, $u \cdot \phi$. This action satisfy the relation $e_i e_j + e_j e_i = -2\delta_{ij}$ and extends to the action of $\mathbf{C}l_n$. When n is $2m$, the same discussion holds, so that we can define the Clifford action satisfying $\mathbf{R}^n(V_{\Delta^\pm}) = V_{\Delta^\mp}$. We apply this definition to other representations.

Let us consider an irreducible unitary representation (π_ρ, V_ρ) and the tensor representation $\pi_\rho \otimes \pi_{\mathrm{Ad}} \simeq \pi_{\lambda_0} \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_{\lambda_N}$. Since all weights of the adjoint representation π_{Ad} have multiplicity one, each irreducible constitute of $(\pi_\rho \otimes \pi_{\mathrm{Ad}}, V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n)$ has multiplicity one. In fact, Fegan shows the following fact in [8].

Lemma 2.1 ([8]). *If* $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n = \sum V_\lambda$ *is the irreducible decomposition as a Spin*(n)*-module (or a* $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$*-module), then the highest weight of irreducible components is given as follows:*

1. *When* n *is* $2m$, λ *is dominant weight and* $\lambda = \rho \pm \mu_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$.
2. *When* n *is* $2m+1$ *and* $\rho^m \geq \frac{1}{2}$, λ *is dominant weight, and* $\lambda = \rho$ *or* $\lambda = \rho \pm \mu_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$.
3. *When* n *is* $2m+1$ *and* ρ^m *is* 0, λ *is dominant integral, and* $\lambda = \rho + \mu_m$ *or* $\lambda = \rho \pm \mu_i$ $(1 \leq i \leq m-1)$.

Here $\rho = (\rho^1, \rho^2, \cdots, \rho^m)$ *and* $\mu_i = (0_{i-1}, 1, 0_{m-i})$. *The conformal weight of irreducible components is given as follows:*

$$m(\rho + \mu_i) = i - 1 - \rho^i, \quad m(\rho - \mu_i) = n + \rho^i - i - 1, \quad m(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1). \tag{2.1}$$

We remark that the highest weight $\rho + \mu_1$ called *top term* certainly occurs once in the decomposition. If we employ the lexicographical order for the weight space, then we arrange the highest weight $\{\lambda_k\}_{0 \leq k \leq N}$ to satisfy $\rho + \mu_1 = \lambda_0 > \lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_N$. Lemma 2.1 implies that their conformal weights reverse as $-\rho^1 = m(\lambda_0) < m(\lambda_1) < \cdots < m(\lambda_N)$ except the following case: when $n = 2m$, $\rho^{m-1} \geq 1$, and $\rho^m = 0$, we have $m(\rho + \mu_m) = m(\rho - \mu_m)$. This case is said to be *the exceptional case.*

The inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V_ρ and $\mathbf{R}^n$ give the one on $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$ where irreducible components are orthogonal to each other. Then we have the orthogonal projection $\Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}$ onto irreducible component V_{λ_k} from $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$. This projection induces a homomorphism from V_ρ to V_{λ_k}.

Definition 2.1. Let $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n = \sum V_{\lambda_k}$ be the irreducible decomposition as a *Spin*(n)-module. For each irreducible component V_{λ_k}, we have the bilinear mapping

$$\mathbf{R}^n \times V_\rho \ni (u, \phi) \mapsto p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u)\phi := \Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}(\phi \otimes u) \in V_{\lambda_k}. \tag{2.2}$$

We call the linear mapping $p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u) : V_\rho \to V_{\lambda_k}$ for all u in $\mathbf{R}^n$, *the Clifford homomorphism* from V_ρ to V_{λ_k}.

We denote by $(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u))^*$ the adjoint operator of $p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u)$ with respect to the inner products on V_ρ and V_{λ_k}. If we consider the tensor representation $V_{\lambda_k} \otimes \mathbf{R}^n = \sum V_\nu$, then we find the irreducible component V_ρ and the Clifford homomorphism $p^{\lambda_k}_{\rho}(u)$ is $(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u))^*$ up to normalization.

From now on, we shall investigate the Clifford homomorphisms. Since the Clifford homomorphisms is defined by a projection mapping, we can easily notice the following fact.

Proposition 2.2. *For u, v in $\mathbf{R}^n$, the Clifford homomorphisms $(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(v)$ satisfy that*

$$\sum_k (p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(v) = \langle u, v\rangle \quad \text{on } V_\rho. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. For $\phi \otimes v$ and $\psi \otimes v$ in $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$, we have

$$\langle \phi \otimes v, \psi \otimes u\rangle = \langle \phi, \psi\rangle\langle u, v\rangle = \langle \langle u, v\rangle \phi, \psi\rangle.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\langle \phi \otimes v, \psi \otimes u\rangle = \sum_k \langle p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(v)\phi, p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u)\psi\rangle = \langle \sum_k (p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(v)\phi, \psi\rangle.$$

Since these equations hold for all ψ in V_ρ, we have proved the proposition. ■

Next, we show an important lemma to give relations among the Clifford homomorphisms.

Lemma 2.3. *The Clifford homomorphism $p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u)$ from V_ρ to V_{λ_k} satisfies the relation*

$$-\frac{1}{4}\sum_i p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(e_i)\pi_\rho([e_i, u]) = m(\lambda_k) p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u), \tag{2.4}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is any orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{R}^n$.

Proof. To prove the lemma, we use the operator $\widehat{C}$ given in section 1. For $\phi \otimes u$ in $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{C}(\phi \otimes u) &= \frac{1}{32}\sum_{s,t,i} \pi_\rho([e_s, e_t])\phi \otimes [[e_s, e_t], e_i]\langle e_i, u\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{8}\sum_{s,t} \pi_\rho([e_s, e_t])\phi \otimes (\delta_{si}e_t - \delta_{ti}e_s)\langle e_i, u\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{l} \pi_\rho([u, e_t])\phi \otimes e_t \\
&= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{k,t} p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(e_t)\pi_\rho([u, e_t])\phi.
\end{aligned}$$

The operator $\widehat{C}$ is the constant $m(\lambda_k)$ on V_{λ_k}, so that $\widehat{C}(\phi \otimes u) = \sum_k m(\lambda_k) p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u)\phi$. Hence, we conclude that

$$m(\lambda_k) p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_t p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(e_t) \pi_\rho([u, e_t]).$$

■

This lemma leads a relation among the Clifford homomorphisms.

Proposition 2.4. *We sum up the Clifford homomorphisms* $(p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u))^* p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(v)$ *for all* λ_k *with its conformal weight. Then we have*

$$\sum_k m(\lambda_k)(p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u))^* p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(v) = -\frac{1}{4}\pi_\rho([u, v]). \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. We substitute (2.4) into $\sum_k m(\lambda_k)(p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u))^* p^\rho_\lambda(v)$ and use the relation (2.3). ■

As this proposition, we give many relations among the Clifford homomorphisms.

Theorem 2.5. *For an irreducible representation* (π_ρ, V_ρ) *and any non-negative integer* q*, we define the bilinear mapping* r^q_ρ *from* $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ *to* $\mathrm{End}(V_\rho)$ *as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} &r^q_\rho : \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \ni (u, v) \mapsto \\ &\quad \left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^q \sum_{l_1, \cdots, l_{q-1}} \pi_\rho([u, e_{l_1}])\pi_\rho([e_{l_1}, e_{l_2}]) \cdots \pi_\rho([e_{l_{q-1}}, v]) \in \mathrm{End}(V_\rho), \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

and $r^0_\rho(u, v) := \langle u, v \rangle$*. Then we have relations among the Clifford homomorphisms for any* q*:*

$$\sum_k m(\lambda_k)^q (p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u))^* p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(v) = r^q_\rho(u, v). \tag{2.7}$$

As a corollary of this theorem, we give a description of general Casimir operators. Let $U(\mathfrak{spin}(n))$ be the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$. Then the general Casimir operator is said to be an element of the center of $U(\mathfrak{spin}(n))$ or its image by π_ρ for the representation (π_ρ, V_ρ). In [16], we know generators of the general Casimir operators and its action on the irreducible representations. The generators are given by

$$\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^q \sum_{l_1, \cdots, l_q} \pi_\rho([e_{l_1}, e_{l_2}])\pi_\rho([e_{l_2}, e_{l_3}]) \cdots \pi_\rho([e_{l_q}, e_{l_1}]). \tag{2.8}$$

Corollary 2.6. *The Clifford homomorphisms* $\sum_{k,i} m(\lambda_k)^q (p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(e_i))^* p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(e_i)$ *for* $q \geq 0$ *generate the general Casimir operators for* (π_ρ, V_ρ) *except the Pfaffian type Casimir operator in the remark below.*

Remark 2.1. For $n = 2m$, we need the Pfaffian type Casimir operator to generate the center of $U(\mathfrak{spin}(2m))$, that is,

$$\mathrm{Pf}_\rho := \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2m}} \mathrm{sig}(\sigma) \pi_\rho([e_{\sigma(1)}, e_{\sigma(2)}]) \pi_\rho([e_{\sigma(3)}, e_{\sigma(4)}]) \cdots \pi_\rho([e_{\sigma(2m-1)}, e_{\sigma(2m)}]). \tag{2.9}$$

We can show a relation between this operator and the Clifford homomorphisms,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_k p(\lambda_k)(p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(e_j))^* p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(e_i) = \delta_{ij} p(\rho) + \\ &8m(1-\delta_{ij}) \mathrm{sgn} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2m \\ i & j & \cdots & 2m \end{smallmatrix}\right) \sum_{\sigma \in \widetilde{S}_{2m}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \pi_\rho([e_{\sigma(1)}, e_{\sigma(2)}]) \cdots \pi_\rho([e_{\sigma(2m-1)}, e_{\sigma(2m)}]), \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where $\widetilde{S}_{2m}$ is the permutation of $\{1, \cdots, 2m\} \setminus \{i, j\}$ and $p(\rho)$ is a scalar action of Pf_ρ on V_ρ as

$$p(\rho) = (\sqrt{-1})^m 8^m m! (\rho^1 + m - 1)(\rho^2 + m - 2) \cdots (\rho^{m-1} + 1) \rho^m. \tag{2.11}$$

If we define the bilinear mapping $\mathrm{pf}_\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ from $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ to $\mathrm{End}(V_\rho)$ by the right hand side of (2.10), then the trace of $\mathrm{pf}_\rho(\cdot, \cdot)$ is $n\mathrm{Pf}_\rho$.

Now, we know that the usual Clifford action satisfies that

$$(gug^{-1}) \cdot \phi = \pi_\Delta(g)(u \cdot (\pi_\Delta(g^{-1})\phi)), \tag{2.12}$$

where ϕ is in V_Δ and g in $Spin(n)$ (see [15]). We generalize this relation.

Proposition 2.7. *Let* u *be in* $\mathbf{R}^n$ *and* g *be in* $Spin(n)$*. The Clifford homomorphism* $p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u)$ *satisfy that*

$$p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(gug^{-1}) = \pi_{\lambda_k}(g) p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u) \pi_\rho(g^{-1}). \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. For ϕ in V_ρ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(gug^{-1})\phi &= \Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}(\pi_\rho(g)\pi_\rho(g^{-1})\phi \otimes \pi_{\mathrm{Ad}}(g)u) \\ &= \Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}(\pi_{\rho \otimes \mathrm{Ad}}(g)(\pi_\rho(g^{-1})\phi \otimes u)) \\ &= \pi_{\lambda_k}(g) \Pi^\rho_{\lambda_k}(\pi_\rho(g^{-1})\phi \otimes u) \\ &= \pi_{\lambda_k}(g) p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(u) \pi_\rho(g^{-1})\phi. \end{aligned}$$

$\blacksquare$

As a corollary of Proposition 2.7, we have a formula of the projection $\Pi^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}$ by using the Clifford homomorphisms.

Corollary 2.8. *The orthogonal projection* $\Pi^{\rho}_{\lambda_k} : V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n \to V_{\lambda_k}$ *is realized as follows:*

$$\Pi^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(\phi \otimes u) = \sum_i (p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(e_i))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u)(\phi) \otimes e_i. \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. Let V_{λ_k} be an irreducible component of $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$. We consider the following embedding from V_{λ_k} to $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$, which dose not always preserve their inner products:

$$i_{\lambda_k} : V_{\lambda_k} \ni \psi \mapsto \sum_i (p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(e_i))^*(\psi) \otimes e_i \in V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n.$$

The map i_{λ_k} is independent of the orthonormal basis of $\mathbf{R}^n$ which we chose, and commutes with the action of $Spin(n)$. Since the irreducible component of $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$ has multiplicity one and i_{λ_k} is not zero, we prove that the map i_{λ_k} is a well-defined embedding.

For $\phi \otimes u$ in $V_\rho \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi \otimes u &= \sum_i \langle u, e_i \rangle \phi \otimes e_i \\ &= \sum_{k,i} (p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(e_i))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u)(\phi) \otimes e_i \quad (\text{ from Proposition 2.2 }) \\ &= \sum_k i_{\lambda_k}(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(u)(\phi)).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 2.8. ■

This corollary and Theorem 2.5 allow us to have an explicit formula of the projection $\Pi^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}$. We define the $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrix $\mathbf{M} = (m_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le N}$ by $m_{ij} := m(\lambda_j)^i$, which is a Vandermonde matrix. Since the conformal weights are different from each other except *the exceptional case*, the inverse matrix of $\mathbf{M}$ exists. From (2.7) and (2.14), we have a formula of $\Pi^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}$,

$$\Pi^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(\phi \otimes u) = \sum_{i,q} n_{kq} r^q_\rho(e_i, u) \phi \otimes e_i. \tag{2.15}$$

Here, n_{ij} is the (i,j)-component of $\mathbf{M}^{-1}$. If we set $S_j(x_0, \cdots, \hat{x}_i, \cdots, x_N)$ as the j-th fundamental symmetric polynomial of $\{x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_N\} \setminus \{x_i\}$, then

$$n_{ij} = (-1)^{N-j} \frac{S_{N-j}(m(\lambda_0), \cdots, \widehat{m(\lambda_i)}, \cdots, m(\lambda_N))}{\prod_{k \neq i}(m(\lambda_i) - m(\lambda_k))}. \tag{2.16}$$

In the exceptional case that n is even, $\rho^m \geq 1$, and $\rho^m = 0$, the conformal weight of $\lambda_+ := \rho + \mu_m$ coincides with the one of $\lambda_- := \rho - \mu_m$. So we use pf_ρ given in (2.10) to obtain a formula of $\Pi^\rho_{\lambda_\pm}$. It is easy to show that

$$p(\lambda_+)(p^\rho_{\lambda_+}(e_j))^* p^\rho_{\lambda_+}(e_i) + p(\lambda_-)(p^\rho_{\lambda_-}(e_j))^* p^\rho_{\lambda_-}(e_i) = \mathrm{pf}_\rho(e_j, e_i). \tag{2.17}$$

It follows that we realize the projection of $\Pi^\rho_{\lambda_\pm}$ by using r^q_ρ and pf_ρ.

We shall state some examples of the Clifford homomorphisms.

Example 2.1 (Spinor). We discuss only the case of $n = 2m+1$ and leave the case of $n = 2m$ to the reader. Let V_Δ be spinor space which is an irreducible representation space with highest weight $((1/2)_m)$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that the vector space $V_\Delta \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$ is isomorphic to $V_\Delta \oplus V_T$. Here, V_T is the representation space with highest weight $(3/2, (1/2)_{m-1})$. From Theorem 2.5, we have

$$(p^\Delta_T(u))^* p^\Delta_T(v) + (p^\Delta_\Delta(u))^* p^\Delta_\Delta(v) = \langle u, v\rangle, \tag{2.18}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(p^\Delta_T(u))^* p^\Delta_T(v) + \frac{n-1}{2}(p^\Delta_\Delta(u))^* p^\Delta_\Delta(v) = -\frac{1}{4}\pi_\Delta([u, v]), \tag{2.19}$$

for u and v in $\mathbf{R}^n$. It follows that we give the usual Clifford relation

$$(p^\Delta_\Delta(u))^* p^\Delta_\Delta(v) + (p^\Delta_\Delta(v))^* p^\Delta_\Delta(u) = \frac{2}{n}\langle u, v\rangle. \tag{2.20}$$

Since p^Δ_Δ is a constant multiple of the Clifford action $u\cdot$ satisfying $\langle u\cdot\phi, \psi\rangle = -\langle \phi, u\cdot\psi\rangle$, we have $p^\Delta_\Delta(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}u\cdot$ and $(p^\Delta_\Delta(u))^* = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}u\cdot$. Furthermore the representation of $\mathfrak{spin}(n)$ on spinor space is realized as $\pi_\Delta([e_i, e_j]) = [e_i\cdot, e_j\cdot]$. The orthogonal projections Π^Δ_Δ and Π^Δ_T are

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^\Delta_\Delta(\phi\otimes u) &= \sum\{\frac{1}{n}\langle e_i, u\rangle - \frac{1}{2n}\pi_\Delta([e_i, u])\}(\phi)\otimes e_i \\ &= -\frac{1}{n}\sum e_i\cdot u\cdot\phi\otimes e_i,\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^\Delta_T(\phi\otimes u) &= \sum\{\frac{n-1}{n}\langle e_i, u\rangle + \frac{1}{2n}\pi_\Delta([e_i, u])\}(\phi)\otimes e_i \\ &= \phi\otimes u + \frac{1}{n}\sum e_i\cdot u\cdot\phi\otimes e_i.\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Example 2.2 (Exterior algebra). We shall discuss the exterior tensor product representation $(\pi_{\Lambda^k}, \Lambda^k(\mathbf{R}^n))$ with highest weight $\rho = (1_k, 0_{m-k})$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$. There are three irreducible representations $\lambda_0 = (2, 1_{k-1}, 0_{m-k})$,

$\lambda_1 = (1_{k+1}, 0_{m-k-1})$, and $\lambda_2 = (1_{k-1}, 0_{m-k+1})$ in $\Lambda^k(\mathbf{R}^n) \otimes \mathbf{R}^n$. We realize the Clifford homomorphisms as follows:

$$(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_0}(e_j))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_0}(e_i) = \frac{1}{(k+1)(n-k+1)}(k(n-k)\delta_{ij} - nr^1_{\rho}(e_j, e_i) + r^2_{\rho}(e_j, e_i)), \tag{2.23}$$

$$(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_1}(e_j))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_1}(e_i) = \frac{1}{(k+1)(n-2k)}((n-k)\delta_{ij} + (n-k-1)r^1_{\rho}(e_j, e_i) - r^2_{\rho}(e_j, e_i)), \tag{2.24}$$

$$(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_2}(e_j))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_2}(e_i) = \frac{1}{(n-2k)(n-k+1)}(-k\delta_{ij} - (k-1)r^1_{\rho}(e_j, e_i) + r^2_{\rho}(e_j, e_i)). \tag{2.25}$$

In general, it holds that $r^2_{\rho}(u, v) - r^2_{\rho}(v, u) = (n-2)r^1_{\rho}(u, v)$. Then we have

$$(k+1)(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_1}(e_j))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_1}(e_i) + (n-k+1)(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_2}(e_i))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_2}(e_j) = \delta_{ij}, \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(k+1)\{(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_1}(e_j))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_1}(e_i) - (p^{\rho}_{\lambda_1}(e_i))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_1}(e_j)\} \\ =&(n-k+1)\{(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_2}(e_j))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_2}(e_i) - (p^{\rho}_{\lambda_2}(e_i))^* p^{\rho}_{\lambda_2}(e_j)\} \\ =&r^1_{\rho}(e_j, e_i) = -\frac{1}{4}\pi_{\rho}([e_j, e_i]). \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

These equations correspond to the following relations, respectively:

$$i(e_j)e_{i\wedge} + e_{j\wedge}i(e_i) = \delta_{ij}, \tag{2.28}$$

$$i(e_i)e_{j\wedge} - i(e_i)e_{j\wedge} = e_{j\wedge}i(e_i) - e_{i\wedge}i(e_j) = -\frac{1}{4}\pi_{\rho}([e_j, e_i]), \tag{2.29}$$

where $i(u)$ is the interior product of u. So we put

$$p^{\rho}_{\lambda_1}(e_i) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k+1}}e_{i\wedge}, \quad p^{\rho}_{\lambda_2}(e_i) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-k+1}}i(e_i). \tag{2.30}$$

Then the projections are realized as

$$\Pi^{\rho}_{\lambda_1}(\phi \otimes u) = \frac{1}{k+1}\sum i(e_i)u_{\wedge}\phi \otimes e_i, \tag{2.31}$$

$$\Pi^{\rho}_{\lambda_2}(\phi \otimes u) = \frac{1}{n-k+1}\sum e_{i\wedge}i(u)\phi \otimes e_i, \tag{2.32}$$

$$\Pi^{\rho}_{\lambda_0}(\phi \otimes u) = \phi \otimes u - \Pi^{\rho}_1(\phi \otimes u) - \Pi^{\rho}_2(\phi \otimes u). \tag{2.33}$$

If we consider the (exceptional) case that n is $2m$ and the highest weight ρ is $(1_{m-1}, 0)$, then we have four irreducible representations, $\lambda_0 = (2, 1_{m-1}, 0)$, $(\lambda_1)_+ = (1_m)$, $(\lambda_1)_- = (1_{m-1}, -1)$, and $\lambda_2 = (1_{m-2}, 0_2)$ in $\Lambda^{m-1}(\mathbf{R}^{2m}) \otimes \mathbf{R}^{2m}$. We denote the Hodge star operator by the asterisk $*$ and have

$$\Pi^{\rho}_{(\lambda_1)_+}(\phi \otimes u) = \frac{1}{m} \sum i(e_i) \frac{1}{2}(1 + *) u_{\wedge} \phi \otimes e_i, \tag{2.34}$$

$$\Pi^{\rho}_{(\lambda_1)_-}(\phi \otimes u) = \frac{1}{m} \sum i(e_i) \frac{1}{2}(1 - *) u_{\wedge} \phi \otimes e_i. \tag{2.35}$$

3 Higher spin Dirac operators

In this section we shall discuss the higher spin Dirac operators. Let M be a n-dimensional spin manifold and $\mathbf{Spin}(M)$ be its spin structure (If M dose not have a spin structure, we should consider only the representations of $SO(n)$). For an irreducible unitary representation (π_ρ, V_ρ) of the structure group $Spin(n)$, we have a vector bundle $\mathbf{S}_\rho$ associated to $\mathbf{Spin}(M)$,

$$\mathbf{S}_\rho := \mathbf{Spin}(M) \times_\rho V_\rho. \tag{3.1}$$

We introduce a covariant derivative on $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho)$ associated to the spin connection or the Levi-Civita connection,

$$\nabla : \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho) \to \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho \otimes T^*(M)). \tag{3.2}$$

If we decompose $\mathbf{S}_\rho \otimes T^*(M)$ into the direct sum of irreducible components, $\sum \mathbf{S}_{\lambda_k}$, then we construct a first order differential operator from $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho)$ to $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{\lambda_k})$ called the higher spin Dirac operator,

$$D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k} : \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho) \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho \otimes T^*(M)) \xrightarrow{\Pi^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}} \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{\lambda_k}). \tag{3.3}$$

From Proposition 2.7, we show that the bundle homomorphism $p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(X)$ from $\mathbf{S}_\rho$ to $\mathbf{S}_{\lambda_k}$ is well-defined, where X is in $\Gamma(M, T(M))$. Then we have a formula of $D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}$,

$$D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k} = \sum p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(e_i) \nabla_{e_i} : \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho) \to \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{\lambda_k}). \tag{3.4}$$

Here, $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal frame of $T(M) \simeq T^*(M)$. We set a formal adjoint operator of $D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}$ by $(D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k})^* := \sum -(p^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}(e_i))^* \nabla_{e_i}$.

We shall extend the relations among the Clifford homomorphisms to the ones among higher spin Dirac operators. First, we show that the sum of the higher spin Dirac operator $(D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k})^* D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}$ for $0 \le k \le N$ is the connection Laplacian.

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $\nabla^*\nabla$ *is the connection Laplacian on* $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho)$ *defined by* $\sum -\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}$*, and* $\sum \mathbf{S}_{\lambda_k}$ *be the irreducible decomposition of* $\mathbf{S}_\rho \otimes T^*(M)$*. Then*

$$\nabla^*\nabla = \sum_k (D^\rho_{\lambda_k})^* D^\rho_{\lambda_k}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. For any x in M, we can find a local orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ such that $(\nabla_{e_i} e_j)_x = 0$ for any i and j, so that $(\nabla_{e_i} p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(e_j))_x = 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_k (D^\rho_{\lambda_k})^* D^\rho_{\lambda_k} &= \sum_{i,j}\sum_k -(p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(e_j))^* p^\rho_{\lambda_k}(e_i)\nabla_{e_j}\nabla_{e_i} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} -\delta_{ij}\nabla_{e_j}\nabla_{e_i} \\ &= \sum_i -\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}.\end{aligned}$$

■

Next, we introduce a curvature transformation on $\mathbf{S}_\rho$. For X and Y in $\Gamma(M, T(M))$, the curvature $R_\rho(X, Y)$ is defined by

$$R_\rho(X, Y) = \nabla_X\nabla_Y - \nabla_Y\nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]} \in \Gamma(M, \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{S}_\rho)). \tag{3.6}$$

This curvature leads the curvature transformation R^1_ρ in $\Gamma(M, \mathrm{End}(\mathbf{S}_\rho))$,

$$R^1_\rho := \frac{1}{8}\sum_{i,j} \pi_\rho([e_i, e_j]) R_\rho(e_i, e_j). \tag{3.7}$$

For example, R^1_Δ is $\kappa/8$ and $R^1_{\Lambda^1}$ is Ric, where κ is the scalar curvature and Ric is the Ricci curvature transformation. We show that the sum of $(D^\rho_{\lambda_k})^* D^\rho_{\lambda_k}$ with conformal weight is the curvature transformation.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* R^1_ρ *be the curvature transformation on* $\mathbf{S}_\rho$ *as above. Then we have*

$$R^1_\rho = \sum_k m(\lambda_k)(D^\rho_{\lambda_k})^* D^\rho_{\lambda_k}. \tag{3.8}$$

Now, we define a family of self adjoint differential operators on $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho)$ by $R^q_\rho := \sum_{i,j} -r^q_\rho(e_i, e_j)\nabla_i\nabla_j$ for $q \geq 0$. If q is even and M is compact, then R^q_ρ is a non-negative operator and $\ker R^q_\rho$ is $\ker\nabla$, that is, the space of parallel sections.

Theorem 3.3. *The sum of higher spin Dirac operators* $(D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k})^* D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}$ *with the* q*-th power of conformal weight is* R^q_{ρ}*:*

$$R^q_{\rho} = \sum_k m(\lambda_k)^q (D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k})^* D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}. \tag{3.9}$$

This implies an explicit formula of $(D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k})^* D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k}$ *as follows:*

$$(D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k})^* D^{\rho}_{\lambda_k} = \sum_q n_{kq} R^q_{\rho}, \tag{3.10}$$

where n_{ij} *is a constant given in* (2.16) *and, for the exceptional case, we think of the direct sum of* $\mathbf{S}_{\rho+\mu_m}$ *and* $\mathbf{S}_{\rho-\mu_m}$ *as only one component of* $\sum \mathbf{S}_{\lambda_k}$*.*

Remark 3.1. We can define a differential operator P_{ρ} by $\mathrm{P}_{\rho} := \sum \mathrm{pf}_{\rho}(e_i, e_j)\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_j}$. Since $\mathrm{pf}_{\rho}(e_i, e_j) = -\mathrm{pf}_{\rho}(e_j, e_i)$ for the exceptional case, the operator P_{ρ} is a curvature transformation.

Example 3.1 (Spinor). We have two higher spin Dirac operators on spinor bundle $\mathbf{S}_{\Delta}$, that is, the Dirac operator D and the twistor operator T. Our higher spin Dirac operators $D^{\Delta}_{\Delta} : \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{\Delta}) \to \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{\Delta})$ and $D^{\Delta}_{T} : \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{\Delta}) \to \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{T})$ satisfy that

$$(D^{\Delta}_{\Delta})^* D^{\Delta}_{\Delta} + (D^{\Delta}_{T})^* D^{\Delta}_{T} = \nabla^*\nabla, \tag{3.11}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(D^{\Delta}_{T})^* D^{\Delta}_{T} + \frac{n-1}{2}(D^{\Delta}_{\Delta})^* D^{\Delta}_{\Delta} = R^1_{\Delta} = \frac{1}{8}\kappa. \tag{3.12}$$

So we set $D^{\Delta}_{\Delta} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} D$ and $D^{\Delta}_{T} := \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{\sqrt{n}} T$. Then we have Bochner identities,

$$D^2 = \nabla^*\nabla + \frac{1}{4}\kappa, \quad T^*T = \nabla^*\nabla - \frac{1}{4(n-1)}\kappa. \tag{3.13}$$

It is from the projection formula (2.22) that twistor operator is realized as follows (see [2] and [3]):

$$D^{\Delta}_{T}(\phi) = \sum_i p^{\Delta}_{T}(e_i)\nabla_{e_i}\phi = \sum (\nabla_{e_i}\phi + \frac{1}{n} e_i D\phi) \otimes e_i. \tag{3.14}$$

Now, we assume that M is compact and λ^0 is the first eigenvalue of D^2. To give a lower bound of λ^0, we rewrite the identity (3.12) as

$$D^2 = \frac{n}{4(n-1)}\kappa + \frac{n-1}{2n} T^*T. \tag{3.15}$$

This identity indicates that $\lambda^0 \geq \frac{n}{4(n-1)}\kappa_0$, where κ_0 is $\min_{x \in M} \kappa(x)$ (see [2] and [3]).

Example 3.2 (Differential from). We consider the k-th differential forms $\Lambda^k(M)$. Then we obtain three higher spin Dirac operators,

$$d := \sqrt{k+1}D^{\rho}_{\lambda_1} : \Gamma(M, \Lambda^k(M)) \to \Gamma(M, \Lambda^{k+1}(M)), \tag{3.16}$$

$$d^* := -\sqrt{n-k+1}D^{\rho}_{\lambda_2} : \Gamma(M, \Lambda^k(M)) \to \Gamma(M, \Lambda^{k-1}(M)), \tag{3.17}$$

$$C := D^{\rho}_{\lambda_0} : \Gamma(M, \Lambda^k(M)) \to \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{\lambda_0}). \tag{3.18}$$

Here C is called the conformal killing operator whose kernel is the space of conformal killing forms. It follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$C^*C + \frac{1}{k+1}d^*d + \frac{1}{n-k+1}dd^* = \nabla^*\nabla, \tag{3.19}$$

$$-C^*C + \frac{k}{k+1}d^*d + \frac{n-k}{n-k+1}dd^* = R^1_{\rho}, \tag{3.20}$$

$$C^*C + \frac{k^2}{k+1}d^*d + \frac{(n-k)^2}{n-k+1}dd^* = R^2_{\rho}. \tag{3.21}$$

The first and second identities give the Bochner identity for differential forms. The projection formula (2.33) leads a formula of C (see [14]):

$$C(\phi) = \sum(\nabla_i\phi - \frac{1}{k+1}i(e_i)d\phi + \frac{1}{n-k+1}e_{i\wedge}d^*\phi) \otimes e_i. \tag{3.22}$$

Example 3.3 (Higher spin fields on anti self-dual 4-manifolds). Let M be an anti self-dual 4-dimensional spin manifold and $\mathbf{S}_{k,l}$ be the vector bundle corresponding to the highest weight $\rho := (k+l, k-l)$ for k, l in $\mathbf{Z} \cup (\mathbf{Z}+1/2)$. We remark that $\mathbf{S}_{k,l}$ corresponds to the representation of $\pi_k \widehat{\otimes} \pi_l$ of $Spin(4) = SU(2) \times SU(2)$, where π_k is a spin k representation of $SU(2)$. We consider the vector bundle $\mathbf{S}_{k,0}$ and have two higher spin Dirac operators,

$$D_0 : \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{k,0}) \to \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{k+1/2,1/2}), \tag{3.23}$$

$$D_1 : \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{k,0}) \to \Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_{k-1/2,1/2}). \tag{3.24}$$

The relations between D_0 and D_1 are

$$(D_0)^*D_0 + (D_1)^*D_1 = \nabla^*\nabla, \tag{3.25}$$

$$-k(D_0)^*D_0 + (k+1)(D_1)^*D_1 = R^1_{k,0}. \tag{3.26}$$

Since M is an anti self-dual manifold, we can show that the curvature transformation $R^1_{k,0}$ is $\frac{k(k+1)}{6}\kappa$. We set $\widehat{D}_1 := \sqrt{(2k+1)/k}D_1$ and give the Bochner identity for $\widehat{D}_1$ (see [1] and [10]):

$$(\widehat{D}_1)^*\widehat{D}_1 = \nabla\nabla^* + \frac{k+1}{6}\kappa. \tag{3.27}$$

The identity (3.26) gives a lower bound of the first eigenvalue λ^0 of $(\widehat{D}_1)^*\widehat{D}_1$, that is, $\lambda^0 \geq \frac{2k+1}{6}\kappa_0$.

4 General Bochner identities

We have considered some Bochner identities in the previous section. It allows us to give a general Bochner identity on any associated bundle $\mathbf{S}_\rho$. We have known the following two identities:

$$\sum_{0\le k\le N}(D^\rho_{\lambda_k})^* D^\rho_{\lambda_k} = \nabla^*\nabla, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sum_{0\le k\le N} m(\lambda_k)(D^\rho_{\lambda_k})^* D^\rho_{\lambda_k} = R^1_\rho, \tag{4.2}$$

where we order $\{\lambda_k\}$ to satisfy that $\lambda_0 > \lambda_1 > \cdots > \lambda_N$. We eliminate $(D^\rho_{\lambda_0})^* D^\rho_{\lambda_0}$ from above two equations and obtain a general Bochner identity on $\mathbf{S}_\rho$,

$$\sum_{1\le k\le N}(1-\frac{m(\lambda_k)}{m(\lambda_0)})(D^\rho_{\lambda_k})^* D^\rho_{\lambda_k} = \nabla^*\nabla + \frac{1}{-m(\lambda_0)}R^1_\rho. \tag{4.3}$$

Here, $m(\lambda^0)$ is $-\rho^1$ and $(1-\frac{m(\lambda_k)}{m(\lambda_0)})$ is positive.

Theorem 4.1. *We define the differential operator* $\widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k}$ *for* $1 \le k \le N$ *by*

$$\widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k} := \sqrt{1-\frac{m(\lambda_k)}{m(\lambda_0)}}D^\rho_{\lambda_k}. \tag{4.4}$$

Then the following identity holds:

$$\Delta_\rho := \sum_{k\ge 1}(\widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k})^* \widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k} = \nabla^*\nabla + \frac{1}{\rho^1}R^1_\rho. \tag{4.5}$$

This Laplace type operator Δ_ρ *is an elliptic second order operator on* $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho)$*. If* M *is compact, then* Δ_ρ *is non-negative and* $\ker\Delta_\rho$ *is the intersection of* $\ker\widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k}$ *for* $1 \le k \le N$*.*

5 A lower bound of Δ_ρ on manifolds of positive curvature

We assume that M is a compact manifold of positive curvature in this section. In other words, there exists a constant $r > 0$ such that the curvature $R_{\mathrm{Ad}}(\cdot,\cdot)$ on the tangent bundle $T(M)$ satisfies

$$\langle R_{\mathrm{Ad}}(u,v)v,u\rangle \ge 2r\langle u,u\rangle\langle v,v\rangle \quad \text{for any } u \text{ and } v \text{ in } T(M)\ . \tag{5.1}$$

Then the curvature transformation R^1_ρ on $\mathbf{S}_\rho$ has a lower bound as follows: for ϕ in $\mathbf{S}_\rho$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R^1_\rho \phi, \phi\rangle &= \frac{1}{4}\langle \sum_{i,j} \pi_\rho([e_i, e_j]) R_\rho(e_i, e_j)\phi, \phi\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{64}\sum_{i,j,k,l} \langle R_{\mathrm{Ad}}(e_i, e_j)e_k, e_l\rangle \langle \pi_\rho([e_i, e_j])\pi_\rho([e_k, e_l])\phi, \phi\rangle \\ &\geq \frac{r}{32}\sum \langle \pi_\rho([e_i, e_j])\pi_\rho([e_j, e_i])\phi, \phi\rangle \\ &= -2rc(\rho)\langle \phi, \phi\rangle,\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $c(\rho)$ is a negative constant due to the Casimir operator on V_ρ in (1.8).

Theorem 5.1. *Let M be a compact manifold of positive curvature as above and Δ_ρ be the Laplace type operator on $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho)$ given in (4.5). The first eigenvalue λ^0 of Δ_ρ has a lower bound,*

$$\lambda^0 \geq \frac{m(\lambda_0) - m(\lambda_N)}{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_N)}(-2rc(\rho)) > 0. \tag{5.3}$$

If the equality holds in (5.3), then the eigensections with the first eigenvalue λ^0 are in $\ker D^\rho_{\lambda_0}$ and $\ker \widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k}$ for $1 \leq k \leq N-1$.

Proof. We remark that $m(\lambda_N) > 0$ and, for any k,

$$\frac{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_N)}{m(\lambda_0) - m(\lambda_N)} \geq \frac{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_k)}{m(\lambda_0) - m(\lambda_k)}.$$

We have known the following identities:

$$\Delta_\rho = \sum (\widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k})^* \widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k},$$

$$R^1_\rho + \rho^1 (D^\rho_{\lambda_0})^* D^\rho_{\lambda_0} = \sum_{1\leq k\leq N} \frac{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_k)}{m(\lambda_0) - m(\lambda_k)} (\widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k})^* \widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k}.$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\Delta_\rho \phi, \phi) &= \sum (\widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k}\phi, \widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k}\phi) \\
&= \frac{m(\lambda_0)-m(\lambda_N)}{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_N)} \sum \frac{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_N)}{m(\lambda_0)-m(\lambda_N)} \|\widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k}\phi\|^2 \\
&\geq \frac{m(\lambda_0)-m(\lambda_N)}{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_N)} \sum \frac{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_k)}{m(\lambda_0)-m(\lambda_k)} \|\widehat{D}^\rho_{\lambda_k}\phi\|^2 \\
&= \frac{m(\lambda_0)-m(\lambda_N)}{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_N)} ((R^1_\rho\phi, \phi) + \rho^1 \|D^\rho_{\lambda_0}\phi\|^2) \\
&\geq \frac{m(\lambda_0)-m(\lambda_N)}{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_t)} (R^1_\rho\phi, \phi) = \frac{m(\lambda_0)-m(\lambda_N)}{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_N)} \int_M \langle R^1_\rho \phi, \phi\rangle * 1 \\
&\geq \frac{m(\lambda_0)-m(\lambda_N)}{m(\lambda_0)m(\lambda_N)} (-2rc(\rho))(\phi, \phi).
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality leads us to the proposition. ■

Example 5.1 (Differential form). We give a lower bound of the first eigenvalue λ^0 of $dd^* + d^*d$ on $\Lambda^k(M)$, where M is a compact n-dimensional manifold of positive curvature. From the above theorem, we have $\lambda^0 \geq k(n-k+1)r$ for $1 \leq k \leq [\frac{n}{2}]$. If the equality holds in the equation, the eigensections with the eigenvalue λ^0 is in $\ker C \cap \ker d$. This lower bound coincides with the one given in [9].

Acknowledgements

The author is partially supported by Waseda University Grant for Special Research Project 2000A-880.

A Appendix: Conformal invariance of the higher spin Dirac operator

In [8], Fegan shows that all the higher spin Dirac operators are conformal invariant first order differential operators. We show the conformal invariance of D^ρ_λ explicitly by using the Clifford homomorphisms. Let (M, g) be a spin manifold with Riemannian metric g. We deform the metric g conformally to $g' := e^{2\sigma(x)}g$, where $\sigma(x)$ is a scalar function on M. We denote the objects associated to g' by adding a symbol " $'$ " to them: for example, $\mathbf{Spin}'(M)$, $\mathbf{S}'_\rho$ and so on.

The isomorphism between the orthonormal frame bundles $\mathbf{SO}(M)$ and $\mathbf{SO'}(M)$ is realized by the mapping

$$\Psi : \mathbf{SO}(M) \ni \{e_i\}_i \mapsto \{e'_i := e^{-\sigma} e_i\}_i \in \mathbf{SO'}(M). \tag{A.1}$$

This mapping is lifted to the isomorphism for spin bundles and induces a bundle isometry $\psi_\rho : \mathbf{S}_\rho \to \mathbf{S}'_\rho$ for each associated bundle such that $\psi_\lambda \circ p^\rho_\lambda(e_i) = e^\sigma p^\rho_\lambda(e_i) \circ \psi_\rho$. The covariant derivatives ∇ and ∇' on $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho)$ and $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}'_\rho)$ are related as follows (for the spinor case, see [2] and [15]):

$$\nabla'_X = \psi_\rho \circ \{\nabla_X \phi + \frac{1}{4}\pi_\rho([\mathrm{grad}(\sigma), X])\} \circ \psi_\rho^{-1}, \tag{A.2}$$

where X is any vector field on M. This relation implies a relation for the higher spin Dirac operator.

Lemma A.1. *The higher spin Dirac operators D^ρ_λ and D'^ρ_λ associated to metric g and g' respectively are related as follows:*

$$D'^\rho_\lambda = e^{-\sigma}\psi_\lambda \circ \{D^\rho_\lambda + m(\lambda) p^\rho_\lambda(\mathrm{grad}(\sigma))\} \circ \psi_\rho^{-1} \tag{A.3}$$

Proof. For ϕ in $\Gamma(M, \mathbf{S}_\rho)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D'^\rho_\lambda \circ \psi_\rho(\phi) &= \sum p^\rho_\lambda(e'_i)\nabla'_{e'_i}\psi_\rho(\phi) \\ &= \sum p^\rho_\lambda(e_i)\nabla'_{e_i} \circ \psi(\phi) \\ &= \sum p^\rho_\lambda(e_i)\psi_\rho \circ \{\nabla_{e_i}\phi + \frac{1}{4}\pi_\rho([\mathrm{grad}(\sigma), e_i])\phi\} \\ &= e^{-\sigma}\psi_\lambda \circ \{\sum p^\rho_\lambda(e_i)(\nabla_{e_i}\phi + \frac{1}{4}\pi_\rho([\mathrm{grad}(\sigma), e_i])\phi)\} \\ &= e^{-\sigma}\psi_\lambda \circ \{D^\rho_\lambda\phi + m(\lambda)p^\rho_\lambda(\mathrm{grad}(\sigma))\phi\} \quad (\text{ from } (2.4)). \end{aligned}$$

■

The usual Dirac operator D satisfies that $D \circ f = \mathrm{grad}(f) \cdot + fD$, where f is any smooth function on M. The higher spin Dirac operator has the similar property.

Lemma A.2. *For any smooth function f on M, we have*

$$D^\rho_\lambda \circ f = p^\rho_\lambda(\mathrm{grad}(f)) + fD^\rho_\lambda. \tag{A.4}$$

The above two lemma gives us the conformal invariance of the operator D^ρ_λ:

$$D'^\rho_\lambda = (e^{-(m(\lambda)+1)\sigma}\psi_\lambda) \circ D^\rho_\lambda \circ (e^{-m(\lambda)\sigma}\psi_\rho)^{-1}. \tag{A.5}$$

In particular, we have $\dim\ker D'^\rho_\lambda = \dim\ker D^\rho_\lambda$.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, N. J. Hitchin and I. M. Singer, *Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry,* Proc. Roy. Soc. London. A **362**, (1978), 425-461.

[2] H. Baum, Th. Friedrich, R. Grunewald and I. Kath, *Twistors and Killing spinors on Riemannian manifolds,* Teubner-Verlag, Leipzig/Stuttgart 1991.

[3] H. Baum and Th. Friedrich, *Eigenvalues of the Dirac operator, Twistors and Killing spinors on Riemannian manifold, in 'Clifford Algebras and Spinor Structures',* Kluwer Acad. Publ., (1995), 243-256.

[4] T. Branson, *Harmonic Analysis in Vector Bundles Associated to the Rotation and Spin Groups,* J. Funct. Anal. **106** (1992), 314-328.

[5] T. Branson, *Stein-Weiss Operators and Ellipticity,* J. Funct. Anal. **151**, (1997), 334-383.

[6] J. Bureš and V. Souček, *Eigenvalues of conformally invariant operators on spheres, in 'The 18th Winter School "Geometry and Physics"',* Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl. No. 59, (1999), 109–122. (math. DG/9807050).

[7] J. Bureš, *The higher spin Dirac operators, in 'Differential geometry and applications',* Masaryk Univ., Brno, (1999), 319-334. (math. DG/9901039).

[8] H. D. Fegan, *Conformally invariant first order differential operators,* Quart. J. Math. Oxford, **27** (1976), 371-378.

[9] S. Gallot and D. Meyer, *Opérateur de courbure et laplacien des formes différentielles d'une variété Riemannienne,* J. Math. Pures Appl. **54** (1975), 259-284.

[10] N. J. Hitchin, *Linear field equations on self-dual spaces,* Proc. Roy. Soc. London, A **370** (1980), 173-191.

[11] Y. Homma, *Spinor-valued and Clifford Algebra-valued Harmonic Polynomials* preprint, 1999.

[12] Y. Homma, *The Higher Spin Dirac Operator on* 3*-Dimensional Manifolds* preprint, 1999. (math.DG/0006210)

[13] A. W. Knapp, *Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups,* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1986.

[14] M. Kora, *On conformal Killing forms and the proper space of Δ for p-forms* Math. J. Okayama Univ. **22** (1980), 195-204.

[15] H. B. Lawson and M. L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry,* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1989.

[16] D. P. Želobenko, *Compact Lie Groups and Their Representations,* Trans. Math. Monographs. vol **40**, A.M.S. 1973.